Case 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:1283

```
BILAL A. ESSAYLI
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
    JOSEPH T. MCNALLY
 2
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Acting Chief, Criminal Division
    IAN V. YANNIELLO (Cal. Bar No. 265481)
 4
    GREGORY W. STAPLES (Cal. Bar No. 155505)
    DANIEL H. WEINER (Cal. Bar No. 329025)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 5
         1400/1500 United States Courthouse
 6
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 7
         Telephone: (213) 894-3667/3535/0813
         Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
 8
         E-mail:
                    ian.yanniello@usdoj.gov
                     greg.staples@usdoj.gov
 9
                    daniel.weiner@usdoj.gov
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 24-621(B)-MWF
14
              Plaintiff,
                                         STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR
15
                                         (1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND
                                         (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME
                   v.
16
                                         PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
    DURK BANKS, et al.,
                                         ACT
17
              Defendants.
18
                                         CURRENT TRIAL DATE:
                                                                10/14/2025
19
                                         PROPOSED TRIAL DATE:
                                                                01/20/2026
20
21
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
22
23
```

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the Acting United States Attorney for the Central District of California and Assistant United States Attorneys Ian V. Yanniello, Gregory W. Staples, and Daniel H. Weiner, and defendant DEANDRE DONTRELL WILSON ("defendant WILSON"), both individually and by and through his counsel of record, Craig A. Harbaugh; defendant DAVID BRIAN LINDSEY ("defendant LINDSEY"), both individually and by and

24

25

26

27

through his counsel of record, Robert A. Jones and Tillet J. Mills; and defendant ASA HOUSTON ("defendant HOUSTON"), both individually and by and through his counsel of record, Shaffy Moeel, ("the Stipulating Defendants"), hereby stipulate as follows:

- The First Superseding Indictment in this case was filed on 1. November 7, 2024. Defendant DURK BANKS ("Defendant BANKS") first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on November 14, 2024. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial commence on or before January 23, 2025. Defendant WILSON first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on November 15, 2024. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial commence on or before January 24, 2025. Defendant HOUSTON first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on November 21, 2024. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial commence on or before January 30, 2025. Defendant LINDSEY first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on November 22, 2024. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial commence on or before January 31, 2025.
- 2. On November 14, 2024, for defendant BANKS, the Court set a trial date of January 7, 2025. On November 15, 2024, for defendant WILSON, the Court set a trial date of January 7, 2025. On November 21, 2024, for defendant HOUSTON, the Court set a trial date of January 14, 2025. On November 22, 2024, for defendant LINDSEY, the Court set a trial date of January 14, 2025.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 3 of 12 Page ID #:1285

- 3. On January 2, 2025, the Court continued the trial date to October 14, 2025 for all defendants and made relevant excludable time findings under the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. The Second Superseding Indictment was filed as to all defendants on May 1, 2025. The trial date remained set for all defendants on October 14, 2025.
- 5. All defendants are detained pending trial. The government estimates that its case-in-chief will last approximately two to three weeks. All defendants are joined for trial and a severance has not been granted.
- 6. By this stipulation, the Stipulating Defendants move to continue the trial date to January 20, 2026. Additionally, the parties¹ move to set the following pretrial schedule:
 - a. Expert disclosure deadline:
 - i. Government disclosure²: September 1, 2025
 - ii. Defense disclosure: November 1, 2025
- b. Pretrial motions other than motions in limine or motions regarding experts:
 - i. Motions due: October 6, 2025
 - ii. Oppositions due: October 27, 2025
 - iii. Optional replies due: November 10, 2025
 - iv. Hearing date: November 17, 2025

¹ The parties, including counsel for defendant BANKS, met and conferred regarding the proposed briefing schedule and deadlines set forth below.

² The government reserves the right to promptly supplement its disclosure and/or notice one or more rebuttal experts based on disclosures made by the defense on November 1, 2025.

Case 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 4 of 12 Page ID #:1286

- c. Government's disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 404(b): November 17, 2025
- d. Motions in limine, including motions regarding experts:

a.

- i. Motions due: December 8, 2025
- ii. Oppositions due: December 22, 2025
- iii. Hearing date/pretrial conference: January 7, 2026

All defendants are charged with violations of 18

- 7. This is the second request for a continuance.
- 8. The Stipulating Defendants request the continuance based upon the following facts, which the parties believe demonstrate good cause to support the appropriate findings under the Speedy Trial Act:
- U.S.C. § 1958(a): Conspiracy and Use of Interstate Facilities to Commit Murder-For-Hire Resulting in Death; 18 U.S.C. §§ 2261A(2)(A),(B), 2261(b)(1): Stalking Resulting in Death; and 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c)(1)(A)(iii), (c)(1)(B)(ii), (j)(1): Use, Carry, and Discharge of Firearms and Machinegun, and Possession of Such Firearms, in Furtherance of a Crime of Violence, Resulting in Death. Discovery in this case includes, among other things, hundreds of gigabytes of digital evidence such as audio/video recordings, surveillance footage, and extractions of digital devices; and over
- b. Due to the nature of the prosecution and the number of defendants, including the charges in the indictment and the voluminous discovery, this case is so unusual and so complex that it

violent acts, photographs, witness statements, and medical documents.

30,000 pages of records and reports concerning murder and other

³ The government has also agreed to provide a preliminary disclosure on or before September 1, 2025.

is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the Speedy Trial Act time limits.

- c. Defense counsel represent that they have various substantive obligations which necessitate the continuance of the trial to January 20, 2026. The list of obligations for defense counsel is attached hereto as Appendix A and is incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- d. In light of the foregoing, counsel for the Stipulating Defendants also represent that additional time is necessary to confer with defendants, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the case, conduct and complete additional legal research including for potential pre-trial motions, review the discovery and potential evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a pretrial resolution does not occur. Defense counsel represent that failure to grant the continuance would deny them reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- e. The Stipulating Defendants believe that failure to grant the continuance will deny them continuity of counsel and adequate representation.
 - f. The government does not object to the continuance.
- g. The requested continuance is not based on congestion of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the part of the attorney for the Government to obtain available witnesses.

For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendants' trial must commence, the parties agree that the time period from the date this stipulation is filed to January 20, 2026, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), (h)(7)(B)(ii) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request, without government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the nature of the prosecution and the number of defendants that it is unreasonable to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act; and (iv) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

10. Defendant BANKS does not join in the stipulation to continue the trial in this matter. Nonetheless, the stipulating parties agree that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), the time period from the date the stipulation is filed to January 20, 2026, inclusive, constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendant BANKS, who is joined for trial with codefendants as to whom the time for trial has not run and no motion for severance has been granted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 7 of 12 Page ID #:1289

11. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: September 12, 2025 Respectfully submitted, BILAL A. ESSAYLI Acting United States Attorney JOSEPH T. MCNALLY Assistant United States Attorney Acting Chief, Criminal Division

YANNIELLO GREGORY W. STAPLES DANIEL H. WEINER

Assistant United States Attorneys

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Case 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 8 of 12 Page ID #:1290

I am DEANDRE DONTRELL WILSON's attorney. I have carefully
discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the
trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his
Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those
rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision
to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than January 20,
2026 is an informed and voluntary one. $08/35/25$
CRAIG HARBAUGH Date

CRAIG HARBAUCH
Attorney for Defendant
DEANDRE DONTRELL WILSON

I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date, and give up my right to be brought to trial earlier than January 20, 2026.

Deandre Dontrell Wilson Date

I am DAVID BRIAN LINDSEY's attorn	ey. I have carefully discussed	
every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date		
with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial		
rights. To my knowledge, my client und	derstands those rights and	
agrees to waive them. I believe that m	my client's decision to give up	
the right to be brought to trial earlie	er than January 20, 2026 is an	
informed and voluntary one.	89/11/2025	
ROBERT A. JONES	Date	
TILLET J. MILLS		
Attorney for Defendant DAVID BRIAN LINDSEY		
T been weed this ation latin and h		
I have read this stipulation and h	lave carefully discussed it	
with my attorney. I understand my Spee	edy Trial rights. I	
voluntarily agree to the continuance of	the trial date, and give up	
my right to be brought to trial earlier	than January 20, 2026.	
la Manua		
DAVID BRIAN LINDSEY	09-02-2025	
Defendant		

dase 2:24-cr-00621-MWF Document 220 Filed 09/12/25 Page 10 of 12 Page ID

I am ASA HOUSTON's attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than January 20, 2026 is an informed and voluntary one.

8 09/08/2025 9 SHAFFY MOEEL Date Attorney for Defendant 10 ASA HOUSTON

I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date, and give up my right to be brought to trial earlier than January 20, 2026.

9/06/2025 ASA HOUSTON

Defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

19

23 24

25 26

APPENDIX A

Counsel for Defendant Lindsey:

- 1. <u>State of Texas v. Williams</u>, Case No. 23-1895-K368; Aggravated Sexual Assault of a Minor; currently set for trial on October 17, 2025;
- 2. <u>US v. Seery</u>, Case No. 4:25-CR-146 (S.D. Texas); Murder-for-Hire Conspiracy; currently set for trial on November 17, 2025; and
- 3. <u>US v. Contreras</u>, Case No. 4:24-CR-476 (S.D. Texas); Conspiracy with Intent to Distribute Controlled Substance; currently set for trial on December 15, 2025.